



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/727,537 | 12/04/2000 | Kagumi Moriwaki | 44084-483 | 4339 |
| ; | 7590 11/01/2005 | | EXAM | INER |
| MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 600 13th Street N.W. | | | HENN, TIMOTHY J | |
| Washington, DC 20005-3096 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| • | | | 2612 | |

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/727,537 | MORIWAKI, KAGUMI | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Timothy J. Henn | 2612 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ju | lv 2005. | | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| · <u>-</u> | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | ·_ ·· ·· ·· ·· | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 December 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-149) | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12 July 2005 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 6, 8, 9 and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parulski (US 6,072,962) in view of Berstis (US 6,721,001).

[claim 1]

Regarding claim 1, Parulski discloses a digital imaging device for obtaining image data as digital data of a photographic image including an object (Figure 1), comprising: a memory for storing image data of a plurality of frames representing an

ideal region of an object within an image, each frame corresponding to a type of object and including data representing an area corresponding to the ideal region (Figures 2-7; c. 4, l. 59 - c. 5, l. 38); a frame selector for selecting a frame from the plurality of frames as a selected frame (Figures 2-7; c. 4, ll. 30-63); a display device for displaying the selected frame superimposed on a monitor image obtained by an image sensing device (Figure 8-12; c. 9, l. 7 - c. 10, l. 10); an image capture device for capturing a image data based on the monitor image (e.g. a shutter button); and a recording device for recording on a recording medium, different from said memory, specific information corresponding to the selected frame and the image data captured by the image capture device associated with each other, said specific information representing an area corresponding to the ideal region for the selected frame (c. 4, ll. 5-58). However, Parulski does not disclose recording specific information including the type of objected corresponding to the selected frame which describes what the object is.

Berstis discloses a camera which is capable of adding annotations to an image which include the name of the place depicted, time the picture was taken, the names of persons depicted, etc. (c. 5, II. 2-10). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include information including the name of the place depicted and names of persons depicted in the pictures of Parulski to easily identify the places and people depicted in the pictures taken.

[claim 2]

Regarding claim 2, Parluski in view of Berstis lacks selecting a frame by selecting a keyword from a plurality of keywords corresponding to each of the frames. Official

Notice is taken that it is notoriously well known to select objects by keywords to allow for easy searching of the available objects using the corresponding keywords. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select the frames by easy searching of the available objects using the corresponding keywords.

[claim 3]

Regarding sham 3, Parulski discloses including region coordinates data (i.e. data which identifies the region) for specifying the ideal region (c. 4, II. 30-63) and Berstis discloses including an object name for specifying the type of object (c. 5, II. 2-10).

[claim 4]

Regarding claim 4, Parulski discloses an image corrector for correcting the image data based on the information (c. 5, l. 39 - c. 6, l. 67; c. 11, l. 61 - c. 12, l. 14).

[claim 6]

Regarding claim 6, Parulski discloses a computer having a reading device for reading the specific information and the image data from the recording medium and a image corrector for correcting the image data based on the specific information (c. 5, I. 39 - c. 6, I. 67; c. 11, I. 61 - c. 12, I. 14).

[claim 8]

Regarding claim 8, Parulski discloses an image processing device for image processing of an image data including a photographic object, comprising: a reading device for reading the image data and an information associated with the image data including a kind of an object and an object area data in which the object is arranged

within the image data (Figures 16a and 16b; c. 11, l. 38 - c. 12, l. 14); a photographic image corrector for correcting the image data base don the information (Figure 16b, Item 84); and a photographic image corrector for correcting the image data based on the information (Figure 16b, Item 82); wherein the photographic image corrector corrects the image data in the object area in which the photographic object is arranged by using a specific correction parameter corresponding to the object that is arranged in the object area (c. 5, l. 39 - c. 8, l. 67). However, Parulski does not disclose storing information which describes what the object is.

Berstis discloses a camera which is capable of adding annotations to an image which include the name of the place depicted, time the picture was taken, the names of persons depicted, etc. (c. 5, II. 2-10). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include information including the name of the place depicted and names of persons depicted in the pictures of Parulski to easily identify the places and people depicted in the pictures taken.

[claim 9]

Regarding claim 9, Parulski discloses reading the image data and the information associated with the image data from a removable recording medium (Figure 16b, Item 18).

[claims 12 and 13]

Claims 12 and 13 are method claims corresponding to apparatus claim 1.

Therefore, claims 12 and 13 are analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with

respect to claim 1.

[claims 14 and 15]

Claims 14 and 15 are method claims corresponding to apparatus claim 8.

Therefore, claims 14 and 15 are analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to claim 8.

5. Claims 5, 7, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parulski (US 6,072,962) in view of Berstis (US 6,721,001) in further view of lijima et al. (US 6,621,524).

[claim 5]

Regarding claim 5, Parulski in view of Berstis discloses all limitations except for a template memory for storing a template which is a previously prepared image and a template combiner for combining the template from the template memory with the image data based on the information.

lijima discloses combining a captured image with previously prepared image data (Figures 6A-6C) to compose an enhanced image. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the option to combine the images produced by Parulski in view of Berstis with prepared image data as taught by lijima in order to produce enhanced image files.

[claim 7]

Regarding claim 7, Parulski in view of Berstis discloses a computer for processing images based on readout specific information (Parulski, Figure 16b) but

does not disclose a template memory for storing a template which is a previously prepared image and a template combiner for combining the template from the template memory with the image data.

lijima discloses combining a captured image with previously prepared image data (Figures 6A-6C) to compose an enhanced image. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the option to combine the images produced by Parulski in view of Berstis with prepared image data as taught by lijima in order to produce enhanced image files.

[claim 10]

Regarding claim 10, Parulski discloses an image processing device for image processing of an image data including a photographic object, comprising: a reading device for reading the image data and information including object area data in which the object is arranged and processing the image based on the readout information (Figures 16a and 16b; c. 11, I. 38 - c. 12, I. 14). However, Parulski does not disclose storing information which describes what the object is.

Berstis discloses a camera which is capable of adding annotations to an image which include the name of the place depicted, time the picture was taken, the names of persons depicted, etc. (c. 5, II. 2-10). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include information including the name of the place depicted and names of persons depicted in the pictures of Parulski to easily identify the places and people depicted in the pictures taken.

However, Parulski in view of Berstis does not disclose a template memory for storing a

template image which is previously prepared image data and a template combiner for combining the template from the template memory with the image data based on the information.

lijima discloses combining a captured image with previously prepared image data (Figures 6A-6C) to compose an enhanced image. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the option to combine the images produced by Parulski in view of Berstis with prepared image data as taught by lijima in order to produce enhanced image files.

[claim 11]

Regarding claim 11, Parulski discloses reading the image data and the information associated with the image data from a removable recording medium (Figure 16b, Item 18).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy J. Henn whose telephone number is (571) 272-7310. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, NgocYen Vu can be reached on (571) 272-7320. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/727,537 Page 9

Art Unit: 2612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TJH 10/28/05

> NGOC-YEN VU PRIMARY EXAMINER